

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services – Sri A. Prabhakara Rao, the then Town Planning Supervisor, Palasa-Kasibugga Municipality, Srikakulam District - Disciplinary Proceedings instituted under Rule-20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 – Enquiry conducted – Imposed penalty of stoppage of two annual grade increments with cumulative effect – Orders - Issued.

=====

MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT (Vig.II-2) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 246

Dated:18.04.2015

Read the following:-

- 1.From CDMA,A.P, Hyd Lr.Roc.No.7458/2004-E3, Dt.08.09.2004& 29.04.2006.
- 2.G.O.Rt.No.559, MA&UD(L2)Dept., dated 19.05.2006.
- 3.WSD of Sri A.Prabhakara Rao.
- 4.G.O.Rt.No. 447, MA&UD(L2) Dept dt.23.03.2009.
- 5.From Sri SS Varma, Municipal Commissioner & Enquiry Officer, Lr.Roc.No.2606/2009/C1, dt.23.10.2010.
- 6.Govt.Memo No.6281/L2/2003-17, dt.30.12.2010.
- 7.Written represensation of Sri A.Prabhakara Rao, the then TPS, dt.13.03.2011.
- 8.Govt. Letter No. 6281/Vig.II-2/2011, dated.02.12.2014
- 9.From Secretary, APPSC, Hyderabad Lr.No.60/RT/1/2015, dt.28.01.2015.

** ** *

O R D E R:

In the reference 1st read above, the Commissioner and Director of Municipal Administration, A.P, Hyderabad has furnished the draft articles of charges against Sri A. Prabhakara Rao, the then Town Planning Supervisor and three others of Palasa-Kasibugga Municipality, Srikakulam District for allowing the deviations and unauthorized construction of commercial building in violation building rules in Palasa Kasibugga Municipaity, Srikakulam District.

2. In the reference 2nd read above, following charges have been issued against the said charged officer under Rule-20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 for allowing the deviations and unauthorized construction of commercial building in violation building rules in Palasa Kasibugga Municipaity, Srikakulam District:-

CHARGE No.1

That he had failed to verify and point out the side open (East) proposed in the plans submitted in an application by Sri T.Vijayakumar, which is not in accordance with the buildings Rules and as a result permission accorded in violation of the said rules. He has also failed to take action against the builder, when he deviated from the sanctioned plan and also on the unauthorized construction of First Floor of the building.

CHARGE No.2

That he had failed to verify and point out the side open (East) proposed in the plan submitted in an application by Sri Penta Venkata Raju, which is not in accordance with the building Rules and as a result permission accorded in violation of the said rules. He has also failed to take action against the Builder, when he deviated from the sanctioned plan and also on the unauthorized construction of second floor of the building. Though PO and CO were issued, he has not initiated action either for prosecution or demolition of the building.

...2

CHARGE No.3

That he had failed to inspect the Town to find out the unauthorized constructions and also the constructions deviating the approved plans and to bring the same to the notice of Municipal Commissioner.

CHARGE No.4

That his above mentioned acts has exhibited lack of integrity, devotion to duty and conduct unbecoming of a member of service and there by violated Rules-3 (1) and (2) of A.P.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

3. In the reference 3rd read above, the charged officer has submitted his written statement of defense. On the examination of the matter, Government have appointed the Enquiry Officer and Presenting Officer to conduct regular enquiry in the matter vide reference 4th read above.
4. In the reference 5th read above, the Enquiry Officer has submitted his enquiry report and out of 4 charges, 3 charges are held proved and one charge is partly proved in respect of Sri A. Prabhakara Rao, the then Town Planning Supervisor, Palasa-Kasibugga Municipality, Srikakulam District.
5. In the reference 6th read above, copy of Enquiry Report was communicated to the Charged Officer to offer his representation in terms of Rule 21(2) of APCS(CCA) Rules, 1991. In the reference 7th read above, Sri A. Prabhakara Rao, the then Town Planning Supervisor, Palasa-Kasibugga Municipality, Srikakulam District, while denying all charges, has submitted his explanation.
6. Government after examination of the matter including the representation of the C.O and after duly consulting the Advisory Authority, have provisionally decided to impose suitable penalty of stoppage of two annual grade increments with cumulative effect against him.
7. In the reference 8th read above, Govt., have addressed, the Secretary A.P.P.S.C, Hyderabad to convey their concurrence to the above proposal. In the reference 9th read above, the Secretary, APPSC, Hyderabad has stated that the Commission has agreed the above proposal of Government.
8. Government after examination of the matter hereby impose a penalty of stoppage of two Annual Grade Increments with cumulative effect against Sri A. Prabhakara Rao, the then Town Planning Supervisor, Palasa-Kasibugga Municipality, Srikakulam District in terms of Rule-9 of APCS(CCA) Rules, 1991.
9. The Commissioner and Director of Municipal Administration, A.P, Hyderabad shall take necessary action in the matter accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

GIRIDHAR ARAMANE
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Sri A. Prabhakara Rao, the then Town Planning Supervisor, Palasa-Kasibugga Municipality, Srikakulam District – **through** the DTCP, A.P, Hyderabad.

The Director of Town & Country Planning, AP, Hyderabad.

The Commissioner and Director of Municipal Administration, AP, Hyderabad.

Copy to:

The Regional Director-Cum-Appellate Commissioner of Municipal Administration, Rajahmundry.

The Secretary, AP Vigilance Commission. A.P. Secretariat.

The Secretary, APPSC, Nampally, Hyderabad

The Commissioner, Nidadavole Municipality,
Sf/Sc.

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER